From: Bill Becker <bill.becker@lsohc.leg.mn>

Subject: RE: Allowable cost reimbursement claims for expenses enterd into joint or enterprise wide accounts

Date: January 21, 2014 4:29:30 PM CST
To: Jim Cox <jimcox@mwthermo.com>
Cc: Scott Rall <scottarall@gmail.com>

Jim,

This is a explanation of what the appropriations law requires agencies and NGOs to do in order to get reimbursed for general expenses. The page summarizes what the Council has been advised of in a number of hearing by a number of people from the legislative auditor to staff of receiving agencies.

Here is the wording of the law referred to above:

Subd. 7. Availability of Appropriation

Money appropriated in this section may not be spent on activities unless they are directly related to and necessary for a specific appropriation and are specified in the accomplishment plan approved by the Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council. Money appropriated in this section must not be spent on indirect costs or other institutional overhead charges that are not directly related to and necessary for a specific appropriation.

What's on the page is a description of what the law means and how to implement it.

From: Jim Cox [mailto:jimcox@mwthermo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 21, 2014 4:15 PM

To: Bill Becker Cc: Scott Rall

Subject: Re: Allowable cost reimbursement claims for expenses enterd into joint or enterprise wide accounts

Bill::

?? ????

.IIm

On Jan 21, 2014, at 3:46 PM, Bill Becker wrote:

Background on Legacy Fund Use Restrictions

Administrative costs are part of the cost of doing business for all organizations, whether they are in the public, non-profit, and private sectors. Since the passing of the legacy amendment in 2008 and its subsequent implementation, the legislature has tried to limit the use of legacy funds for administrative expenses. This goal is not unique to legacy fund dollars: efficient administration should be a goal with all state spending, so that on the ground program dollars can be maximized.

In MMB's view, the "direct and necessary" requirement is intended to promote efficient and effective use of legacy fund dollars: The language clearly implies the need for agencies to document and defend their legacy fund expenditure as needed, reasonable, consistently applied, and rationally allocated. However, it should not prohibit the use of indirect cost billing for necessary administrative costs when that is the most efficient mechanism, nor does it require agencies or sub-grantees to subsidize administrative costs associated with legacy fund programs with other sources of funding. It is reasonable to expect legacy fund programs to pay their fair share — and only their fair share — of agency administrative costs.

CONCLUSION

This guidance focuses on implementing the requirement that legacy fund expenditures be "direct and necessary for the specific appropriation." This requirement is intended to ensure that agencies maximize program dollars and subsequent outcomes for all Minnesotans. However, this requirement does not prohibit agencies from paying for the full cost of administering legacy programs. Under law and state policy, all state funds, including the legacy funds, should pay their portion of administrative costs, and not be subsidized by the general fund or other dedicated funding sources. That is, the legacy funds can and should pay their fair share — and only their fair share — of organizational costs. Rather than requiring agencies and organizations to subsidize their legacy funded programs with other funds, the "direct and necessary for" language requires that organizations adequately document and reasonably defend their legacy fund expenditures.

MMB Guidance to Agencies on Legacy Fund Expenditure

Grant L. Wilson

Linison to Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Fish & Wildlife Policy and Planning Minnesota Department of Natural Resources

651.259.5186

Grant Wilson@state.min.us...

DNR Direct & Necessary Cost Calculator DRAFT 1-10-14

Sequent Sequent Darkon OSAS	Fee Tille or Easternal Asspektion	through quants	source Contract		met.	Mareta Militar	Marrier of Units	Seed CAN
5 3,340,000	3 1,000,000	5 -	5 95,000	People Support	PR.	5 5,865		5 19,800
-	-			Belefy Support	4.00	5 500	25	
				Winnertol Coppert	All Diller Cods	5 0,011	SALMAN	5 14/600
				Communication Property	ARILIES	5 4,144	No.	3,700
				or Suppose	IT there to	5 2,319	104	
				Planning Support	Altranes	5 704		5 ASST
				Promerment Separat	All India	5 25	1	5 1,175
				Division (West (project))	is may Marker (unitable)	E,0000		9
				PROGRAM (STORY (STORY STORY)	Cont/46/Ear (19460)	E 60460		940,240
						Committee of	& Hormony	5 149,320
					Coeta 6	effore (Perect en	d reconsery:	 2,996,687
							-	J. 1245.50

Perditor Title	Staff Funder	a by mogn	en/man			
Position Title	Treated	7460	Marrison Marrison	2000	FTI-feet Smin	Owner-partners Droke
Continues / Passers	3.7		1.7		2.4	3.4
Specialists (Nat/Text)	4.1	- 4	8/3	- 2	8.3	8.7
FTtubowe	1			- 2		
Song Rive.	6.7	- 2	- 0	- 6	1.4	
The State of the Landson						- 9
			-		- 1	
	_	-	CIMP		15.	21.4

PROJECT TITLE:

DNR Grasslands

	Total LSOHC Allocation	SS Fee Title or Easement Acquisition	SS Pass-through	SS Single source		Districts Direct		n Direct	Department	Depart		Necestary 5	
	Allocation	Lacement Acquisition	Garages.	Contract	SS All Other Costs	Rete	Costs		Direct Rate	Direct	Costs	Services	
EWR.	\$234,500				\$ 215,050	4,63%	5	9,957	4.41%	5	9,494	5	19,441
FAW	\$1,805,500			\$124,000	5 1,548,227	2.79%	5	43,056	6,47%	5	95,217	5	130,273
POR					5 .	2,6854	5		4.78%	\$		5	0
LAM		The state of the s			5 .	2,40%	5		6.92%	\$		\$	0
P&T					5 .	5.50%	5.		6,77%	\$		5	0
			PRE-TOTAL ()	pre-Direct/Necessary)	\$1,882,286								

**TOTAL Direct and Necessary Costs \$ 157,715

** insert this amount into the LSCHIC Accomplishment Plan Budget as line Item "Direct Support Services"

8

TOTAL Request (including Direct/Necessary) 52,040,000

Calculation (multiply" All Other Costs" by Division and Department Direct rates)

Instructions

SS Fee Title or Essement Algorishien. Insert delikes to be spent on fee equiption or essemble (do NOT melode professional sendoca/other related civils here).

SS Pass-through Greets - Insert dollars passed through to another organization or agency; single payment contracts also included

SS Single source Contract - Insert the contract amount with single organization, agency, or vendor

\$\$ All Other Costs - Insert the remaining amount of your proposal not in the other 3 categories

The Nature Conservancy's Direct Support Service Methodology

Background

Through the course of implementing grants awarded by the Legacy and Environmental Trust Fund (ENTRF) processes there are numerous costs which are directly related to and necessary for program implementation but which, for practical reasons, cannot be assigned to specific projects. For example, all projects involve the Payroll Department, but because of the size and complexity of the Conservancy's accounting systems it is not possible to determine how much of each payroll administrator's salary should be charged to specific projects.

To account for these and similar costs the Nature Conservancy has developed a methodology for documenting them on an annual basis. This methodology is based on actual costs of Direct Support Services from the previous year and is essentially a ratio of unassignable costs to those which are easily assigned. The ratio is annually adjusted based on real costs. Further, the methodology and costs are annually audited and accepted as our official "Indirect Cost Rate" by all federal funding agencies.

Costs included in this category are deemed internally as General and Administrative or Facilities and are represented as the sum in Column X in the table below. General and Administrative costs include: payroll and accounting, general legal services, human resources, grants administration, information systems, and executive management. Specifically excluded from this category are: Fundraising, Lobbying, Government Relations, Membership Development, and costs directly assigned to projects.

The Nature Conservancy Statement of Yotel Costs by Program Operating and Land Funds. July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011

Dements of Course							1		V	100	
arma urtana	Total Coets for	Conservation	Membership Development	Fundraleing	Labbying	Government Relations	Land Fond	(D)=(P)=(O) =(R)=(S)=(T) Total Direct Costs	Indianal Casts	Fections	Total segment
Salaries	188,252,460	107.171.712	5,413,766	31,063,301	1,534,230	4,960,010	- 5	145,000,000	42.212.634	_	422.69
ritige Benefits	81,164,511		602,953	13,294,927	640,006	2,122,490		82 MO 828	18,296,973		18,290,973
Tem and charges	123,019,361	84,092,006	2,835,367	4,501,214	3,652,422	541,458	16,114,142		7,067,317	1,624,585	10,601,902
Supplies and communications	30,010,127	14,044,395	11,552,906	2,359,259	66,972	240,090	294,768	23,438,014	4,071,053	1004.505	4.071.063
Cavel.	10,270,067	12,704,000	40,573	2479.549	100,737	863,214	245,294	15,700,477	2,487,640		2,407,640
Decagancy	20.963.794	7,666,762	a	501,639	2,241	40,885	9,650,190			11.004.000	
quipment.	6,796,276	5,044,065	a	210,406	2.090	13.877	174,382			11,094,079	11,094,070
Straiture withins	54,513,980	22,790,087	743,309	1,941,114	676,503	T4.275	20,814,167		1,961,462		1,261,407
Soctasiona	332,331,580			15,753		500	332,163,671	49,899,856 302,327,049	7,614,130		7,614,180
otal Coyes for ICR calculation	201,740,110	300,328,073	17,100,970	88,797,361	5,811,566	9.494.772		780,015,763	4,450	54,750,062	4.450 97,734,386

Reconcilation.	Expenditures before Dickelons	Doctations	Februal amelian abid altergen	Friego Russidis changes in non-benefit centers	September on offer Doctor one		MAA Facilies Total
5-1015-H-1	760,015,763	-332,327,549	D	642,764	437,338,458	Indicated Cond Physic	75.307.104 14.718.863 80,104.787
Indirect Cost Roof	97,724,356	4,450	-7,614,150		80 105 7KZ	Direct Dase	407,351,486, 437,351,466, 427,201,668
Total Expenditures	865,740,119	-332,331,508	7,614,500	642,754	\$27,437,235		17.546 3.356 35.606

Methodology and Implementation

The Conservancy proposes to use the methodology and subsequent ratios that have been developed for our Federal approved and audited rate as the basis for calculating Direct Support Services for Legacy and ENRTF projects and programs. Direct Support Service requests will conform to the rate as specified in the individual Accomplishment Plan and will not be applied to categories that unnecessarily inflate the overall rate including the line items of Land Acquisition and Capital Equipment purchases. Total amounts will be determined as part of the allocation process with the governing body and reflected on each Accomplishment Plan or Work Program as a specific line-item. As an example, all TNC projects proposed for the 2014 Legislative Session have requested reimbursement for 50% of eligible DSS costs with the remaining 50% to be contributed as leverage by The Conservancy.

Budget Spreadsheet

LSOF	C Allocation	Fee Title-PassThrough-Single Source Etc.	Eligible Costs 6
	-		=
Supp	port Services Me	thod	
Direct	t Billing 🛄	Percentage Cap 🔲	direct Cost Rate 🛄
Staff	Cost Recovery 🗀	Precision Project Ot	ther 🗀
D	Division Rates	Department Rates	FTE Metric
Indi	rect Cost Rate	Staff Cost Recovery Rate	FTE Units
Perc	entage Cap Rate		
Acco	unting-Financial 🔲	Grant Administration 🔲	Utilities 🗀
Cleric	cal Support 🔲	General Office Equipment 🔲	Purchasing 🔲
Facili	ities Management 🔲	insurance 🔲	Rent/Lease 🗌
Inforr	mation Tech 🛄	Legal Services 🔲	Human Resources 🔲
Purch	hasing 🔲	Security	Executive Personnel 🗀
		Other	
1.	Reimbursable Sup	oport Services	
2.	Support Services I	Requested	
3.	Support Services I	Requested	
	Eligible Costs		